

Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]
Sent: 4/18/2016 4:35:52 PM
To: Macchiarella, Thomas L CIV NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO [thomas.macchiarella@navy.mil]
CC: Chesnutt, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e1cd369e94474c2c8a876fb16943320a-JCHESNUT]; Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]
Subject: FW: Questions EPA has already received re Hunters Pt radiation issues
Attachments: Hunters Pt Rad Mtg 4-12-2016 - list of issues.docx; Hunters Pt NBC Response Hunters Pt Tetra Tech radiation 3-25-2016.docx

Dear Thomas,

Derek let us know that you will attend the meeting this Thursday. I'm glad you're coming. I apologize that I forgot to send this to you last week along with the others. I've been sending these questions to Derek and others in the Navy as I've been getting them so that the Navy is aware of what we hear as well.

- Lily

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518
www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2016 9:20 AM
To: 'Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO' <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>; 'Janda, Danielle L CIV NAVFAC SW' <danielle.janda@navy.mil>; 'william.d.franklin@navy.mil' <william.d.franklin@navy.mil>; 'zachary.edwards@navy.mil' <zachary.edwards@navy.mil>; 'matthew.slack@navy.mil' <matthew.slack@navy.mil>; 'Nina Bacey (Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov)' <Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov>; Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>; tlow@waterboards.ca.gov
Cc: Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: Questions EPA has already received re Hunters Pt radiation issues

Derek requested yesterday all the questions that we have already received about Hunters Pt & Radiation. That is a great idea. I'm glad you suggested it.

Here are some notes to start with attached and below. I am sure I've received others that I didn't have in writing. I'll think about it some more.

3/16/16 - EJ Task Force Mtg: (Jackie, please help me because I am not sure if my memory is correct

Citizen Name / Ex. 6 My father and my wife's Uncle worked at the Shipyard. They died of cancer. It must have been from radiation left behind because Tetra Tech falsified samples. Tetra Tech should go to jail.

Carol Harvey – Tetra Tech worked at Treasure Island. Radioactive waste goes between Hunters Pt & Treasure Island. Tetra Tech works at both. They must be falsifying samples at Treasure Island too. The Hunters Pt EJ task force should advocate for Treasure Island because the issues are so related to one another.

Etecia Brown – Former workers whistleblowers and got fired because of it telling the truth about Tetra Tech's problems.

From: Verreos Insurance Agency [<mailto:info@verreos.com>]

Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 12:51 PM

To: Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>

Subject: APR 11, 2016 RE: HPNS formal response status

Hi Jackie,

At our last BVHP Task Force for Environmental Justice meeting, I asked when we might get a formal response from EPA on the persistent and new allegations reported by NBC news regarding a conspiracy to falsify required documentation and dumping hot radioactive and/or other toxic dirt on site which was reportedly required to have been removed off site? The news report identified a former independent contractor "whistle blower" who claimed to have firsthand knowledge of all the details except for proof of how far up the management chain of command the source can be tracked. The concern I expressed to everyone present at our last meeting is that without a formal criminal investigation by EPA and/or DOJ, it would seem as though there is no other way to compel those who know the truth about these dealings to testify under oath about it. In essence, it may be possible for the U.S. Navy to be innocent, or at least claim to be, while Lennar blames their subcontractor Tetrattech, who in turn attempts to shift all the responsibility to the independent contractors (like this whistle blower) who they hired and directed throughout the projects decades long phases. It's as if the project is so large that whomever is actually responsible for any actual illegal actions, has contrived a means to get away with lying about what they are doing while doing it right under the regulator's combined noses. It suggests to me that the proper level of oversight on such a major project may not be in place for no other reason than the extreme cost of assuring compliance.

Believing as I do that all of the regulatory personnel we have met are both very much concerned for community safety, and very professional about doing their work properly. The possibility that our government regulatory agencies can be badly fooled, and the public ends up being either exposed to unacceptable levels of health risks, and/or forced to pay to do additional unanticipated remediation work at some time in the future is just unacceptable.

I'm not sure if the EPA has received any written requests for response on these issues, and I'd like you to tell me if this email qualifies as such a formal request, or do I need to physically mail a letter to:

US EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Thank you.

Tony

Anthony Verreos

VERREOS Insurance Agency 200 Valley Dr. #20, Brisbane, CA 94005

WORKING FOR YOU

Since 1956

CDI #0585599 www.verreos.com See us on Yelp! Please share with your friends

Tel: 415-467-9600

FAX: 415-467-9605

CA only: 800-464-1397

LEGAL NOTICE/PRIVACY: This email, including any attachments, is proprietary, and intended to remain confidential. All information contained herein is intended only for the identified addressee/recipient. In accordance with California and federal privacy laws: if you are not the intended recipient of this message, please advise us by return email or phone, and do not use, retain, copy, forward, disclose or distribute any part of this information by any means in any format.

From: Personal Email / Ex. 6

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 12:59 PM

To: LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>

Subject: Fw: AACHEC members: Please watch video on whistleblower re: Bayview Hunters Point toxics cleanup...

Lily Lee:

Why hasn't the EPA taken action on the radiation exposure and mishandling of the radiation at the Navy's Hunters Point Shipyard National Radiological Research Lab.

I definitely do not look for the San Francisco Public Health Department to take the lead on this matter Amy Brownell over the years when there was a Remediation Advisory Board to the Navy never made any statements that related to the protection of the general public and their well-being. Never was the precautionary measure for protection of the public applied at the shipyard, even though it is a city policy somehow it is never applied to BVHP.

There are at least three staff members of the San Francisco public health department that are subsidized by the developer landlord at a rate of \$153.85 per hour for any time spent working on Lennar Development Projects. This is a classic case of the Fox, paying the Guard Dog to watch the chicken coop, and you do not expect chickens to come up missing? In this case is not chickens but human lives we need the human lives to be protected those of the current residence and those potential residents were buying homes in good faith thinking that it is safe to live there.

This gentleman needs to be interviewed by the Federal Government and get the details and do investigation on this matter at once. I personally do not care what federal agency takes charge but this federal government needs to take charge this is a crisis just like Flint Michigan government officials are not doing a damn thing about it.

Thank you
Dr. Raymond Tompkins

I am requesting and I will formally request at the meeting today that EPA take the lead on this investigation of mishandling of radiation products at the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard

On Wednesday, March 16, 2016 5:16 PM, Maxine Tatmon-Gilkerson <maxine@rafikicoalition.org> wrote:

Hi Everyone:

Please follow the link below to view the alarming video that was aired on KRON -TV recently. It provides specific information by the former HP Naval Shipyard employee 'turned whistleblower' on deception around radiation clean-up (including falsification of reports and not removing tainted dirt). He says there are definitely unknown amounts of radiation still present. Rafiki is exploring what can we do, and would like to have a conversation with Council members-- probably at our Health Summit this Saturday.

Here's the link to KRON-4's feature on the above:

Thanks much!

Maxine

Maxine Tatmon-Gilkerson, MPH
Health Equity Manager
Rafiki Coalition for Health and Wellness
415-615-9945 ext.104
415-615-9943 (FAX)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any of its attachments is intended for the use of the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential or subject to copyright, the disclosure of which is governed by applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this information is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete originals, copies & printouts of this e-mail.

1st Priority: If Mr. Smith's allegations are confirmed to be true, what would be the potential threats to human health and the environment currently? For example,

- o People walking on top of the durable cover?
- o People excavating in areas where improper disposal of contaminated soil occurred?
- o Groundwater carrying material from trenches into the San Francisco Bay?
- o Exposure through dust to the broader community? (John thought of this later after our call)

2nd Priority: What additional work would be needed before Parcels could be verified to be clean enough to be appropriate for transfer?

More specifically:

1. What is the geographic scope of the improper activities that Mr. Smith observed?
2. Which open trenches did Mr. Smith observe hiding of contaminated samples? Only storm drain and sanitary sewer line excavations? Or other areas too? How deep did he observe the contaminated samples were placed?
3. What is the potential volume of individual and total contaminated samples improperly placed into open trenches?
4. Could the area where excavation is planned for the Artist Studio have radionuclide levels above the release criteria due to Tetra Tech EC, Inc., improper activities?
5. Could Navy and contractor workers conducting excavation have been unknowingly exposed to soil at concentrations above release criteria? For example, areas assumed to be already clean would no longer be considered

Radiologically Controlled Areas (RCA's), so workers would no longer be required to go through the usual protections, e.g. hand scanning hands and shoes as people exit the RCA, wear dosimeters while inside the RCA, etc.

6. Has the Navy conducted its own independent sampling in addition to the Tetra Tech internal investigation?
7. Has the Navy scanned potentially affected areas after the backfill of trenches?
8. Was the Tetra Tech EC, Inc., contract payments fixed price or time and materials?
9. When and how will the Navy communicate its assessment of potential risks to the regulators? To the public?

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518
www.epa.gov/region9/superfund